

**Table 1:** Mathematical definitions of complex network measures (see main text for an informal discussion). All binary and undirected measures are accompanied by their weighted and directed generalizations. Generalizations which have not been previously reported (to the best of our knowledge) are marked with a star (\*). The Brain Connectivity Toolbox contains Matlab functions to compute most measures in this table.

Measure	Binary and undirected definitions	Weighted and directed definitions
<b>Basic concepts and measures</b>		
<b>Basic concepts and notation</b>	<p><math>N</math> is the set of all nodes in the network, and <math>n</math> is the number of nodes.  <math>L</math> is the set of all links in the network, and <math>l</math> is number of links.  <math>(i, j)</math> is a link between nodes <math>i</math> and <math>j</math> (<math>i, j \in N</math>).  <math>a_{ij}</math> is the connection status between <math>i</math> and <math>j</math>: <math>a_{ij} = 1</math> when link <math>(i, j)</math> exists (when <math>i</math> and <math>j</math> are neighbors); <math>a_{ij} = 0</math> otherwise (<math>a_{ii} = 0</math> for all <math>i</math>).  We compute the number of links as <math>l = \sum_{i,j \in N} a_{ij}</math> (to avoid ambiguity with directed links we count each undirected link twice, as <math>a_{ij}</math> and as <math>a_{ji}</math>).</p>	<p>Links <math>(i, j)</math> are associated with connection weights <math>w_{ij}</math>. Henceforth we assume that weights are normalized, such that <math>0 \leq w_{ij} \leq 1</math> for all <math>i</math> and <math>j</math>.  <math>l^w</math> is the sum of all weights in the network, computed as <math>l^w = \sum_{i,j \in N} w_{ij}</math>.    Directed links <math>(i, j)</math> are ordered from <math>i</math> to <math>j</math>. Consequently, in directed networks <math>a_{ij}</math> does not necessarily equal <math>a_{ji}</math>.</p>
<b>Degree:</b> number of links connected to a node	<p>Degree of a node <math>i</math>,</p> $k_i = \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij}.$	<p>Weighted degree of <math>i</math>, <math>k_i^w = \sum_{j \in N} w_{ij}</math>.    (Directed) out-degree of <math>i</math>, <math>k_i^{\text{out}} = \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij}</math>.  (Directed) in-degree of <math>i</math>, <math>k_i^{\text{in}} = \sum_{j \in N} a_{ji}</math>.</p>
<b>Shortest path length:</b> a basis for measuring integration	<p>Shortest path length (distance), between nodes <math>i</math> and <math>j</math>,</p> $d_{ij} = \sum_{a_{uv} \in g_{i \leftrightarrow j}} a_{uv},$ <p>where <math>g_{i \leftrightarrow j}</math> is the shortest path (geodesic) between <math>i</math> and <math>j</math>. Note that <math>d_{ij} = \infty</math> for all disconnected pairs <math>i, j</math>.</p>	<p>Shortest weighted path length between <math>i</math> and <math>j</math>, <math>d_{ij}^w = \sum_{a_{uv} \in g_{i \leftrightarrow j}^w} f(w_{uv})</math>, where <math>f</math> is a map (e.g. an inverse) from weight to length and <math>g_{i \leftrightarrow j}^w</math> is the shortest weighted path between <math>i</math> and <math>j</math>.    Shortest directed path length from <math>i</math> to <math>j</math>, <math>d_{ij}^{\rightarrow} = \sum_{a_{ij} \in g_{i \rightarrow j}} a_{ij}</math>, where <math>g_{i \rightarrow j}</math> is the directed shortest path from <math>i</math> to <math>j</math>.</p>
<b>Number of triangles:</b> a basis for measuring segregation	<p>Number of triangles around a node <math>i</math>,</p> $t_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,h \in N} a_{ij} a_{ih} a_{jh}.$	<p>(Weighted) geometric mean of triangles around <math>i</math>,  <math>t_i^w = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,h \in N} (w_{ij} w_{ih} w_{jh})^{1/3}</math>.    Number of directed triangles around <math>i</math>,  <math>t_i^{\rightarrow} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,h \in N} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})(a_{ih} + a_{hi})(a_{jh} + a_{hj})</math>.</p>
<b>Measures of integration</b>		
<b>Characteristic path length</b>	<p>Characteristic path length of the network (e.g. Watts and Strogatz, 1998),</p> $L = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} L_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}}{n-1},$ <p>where <math>L_i</math> is the average distance between node <math>i</math> and all other nodes.</p>	<p>Weighted characteristic path length, <math>L^w = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}^w}{n-1}</math>.    Directed characteristic path length, <math>L^{\rightarrow} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}^{\rightarrow}}{n-1}</math>.</p>

<b>Global efficiency</b>	Global efficiency of the network (Latora and Marchiori, 2001), $E = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} E_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}^{-1}}{n-1},$ where $E_i$ is the efficiency of node $i$ .	Weighted global efficiency, $E^w = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} (d_{ij}^w)^{-1}}{n-1}$ . Directed global efficiency, $E^{\rightarrow} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} (d_{ij}^{\rightarrow})^{-1}}{n-1}$ .
--------------------------	---	--

<b>Measures of segregation</b>		
<b>Clustering coefficient</b>	Clustering coefficient of the network (Watts and Strogatz, 1998), $C = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} C_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{2t_i}{k_i(k_i-1)},$ where $C_i$ is the clustering coefficient of node $i$ ( $C_i = 0$ for $k_i < 2$ ).	Weighted clustering coefficient (Onnela et al., 2005), $C^w = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{2t_i^w}{k_i(k_i-1)}$ . See Saramaki et al. (2007) for other variants. Directed clustering coefficient (Fagiolo, 2007), $C^{\rightarrow} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{t_i^{\rightarrow}}{(k_i^{\text{out}}+k_i^{\text{in}})(k_i^{\text{out}}+k_i^{\text{in}}-1)-2\sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} a_{ji}}$ .
<b>Transitivity</b>	Transitivity of the network (e.g. Newman, 2003), $T = \frac{\sum_{i \in N} 2t_i}{\sum_{i \in N} k_i(k_i-1)}.$ Note that transitivity is not defined for individual nodes.	Weighted transitivity*, $T^w = \frac{\sum_{i \in N} 2t_i^w}{\sum_{i \in N} k_i(k_i-1)}$ . Directed transitivity*, $T^{\rightarrow} = \frac{\sum_{i \in N} t_i^{\rightarrow}}{\sum_{i \in N} [(k_i^{\text{out}}+k_i^{\text{in}})(k_i^{\text{out}}+k_i^{\text{in}}-1)-2\sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} a_{ji}]}$ .
<b>Local efficiency</b>	Local efficiency of the network (Latora and Marchiori, 2001), $E_{\text{loc}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} E_{\text{loc},i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j,h \in N, j \neq i} a_{ij} a_{ih} [d_{jh}(N_i)]^{-1}}{k_i(k_i-1)},$ where $E_{\text{loc},i}$ is the local efficiency of node $i$ , and $d_{jh}(N_i)$ is the length of the shortest path between $j$ and $h$ , that contains only neighbors of $i$ .	Weighted local efficiency*, $E_{\text{loc}}^w = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j,h \in N, j \neq i} (w_{ij} w_{ih} [d_{jh}^w(N_i)]^{-1})^{1/3}}{k_i(k_i-1)}$ . Directed local efficiency*, $E_{\text{loc}}^{\rightarrow} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i \in N} \frac{\sum_{j,h \in N, j \neq i} (a_{ij}+a_{ji})(a_{ih}+a_{hi}) ([d_{jh}^{\rightarrow}(N_i)]^{-1} + [d_{hj}^{\rightarrow}(N_i)]^{-1})}{(k_i^{\text{out}}+k_i^{\text{in}})(k_i^{\text{out}}+k_i^{\text{in}}-1)-2\sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} a_{ji}}$ .
<b>Modularity</b>	Modularity of the network (Newman, 2004b), $Q = \sum_{u \in M} \left[ e_{uu} - \left( \sum_{v \in M} e_{uv} \right)^2 \right],$ where the network is fully subdivided into a set of nonoverlapping modules $M$ , and $e_{uv}$ is the proportion of all links that connect nodes in module $u$ with nodes in module $v$ . An equivalent alternative formulation of the modularity (Newman, 2006) is given by $Q = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i,j \in N} \left( a_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{l} \right) \delta_{m_i, m_j}$ , where $m_i$ is the module containing node $i$ , and $\delta_{m_i, m_j} = 1$ if $m_i = m_j$ , and 0 otherwise.	Weighted modularity (Newman, 2004), $Q^w = \frac{1}{l^w} \sum_{i,j \in N} \left[ w_{ij} - \frac{k_i^w k_j^w}{l^w} \right] \delta_{m_i, m_j}$ . Directed modularity (Leicht and Newman, 2008), $Q^{\rightarrow} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i,j \in N} \left[ a_{ij} - \frac{k_i^{\text{out}} k_j^{\text{in}}}{l} \right] \delta_{m_i, m_j}$ .

<b>Measures of centrality</b>		
<b>Closeness centrality</b>	<p>Closeness centrality of node <math>i</math> (e.g. Freeman, 1978),</p> $L_i^{-1} = \frac{n-1}{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}}$	<p>Weighted closeness centrality, <math>(L_i^w)^{-1} = \frac{n-1}{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}^w}</math>.</p> <p>Directed closeness centrality, <math>(L_i^{\rightarrow})^{-1} = \frac{n-1}{\sum_{j \in N, j \neq i} d_{ij}^{\rightarrow}}</math>.</p>
<b>Betweenness centrality</b>	<p>Betweenness centrality of node <math>i</math> (e.g. Freeman, 1978),</p> $b_i = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{\substack{h,j \in N \\ h \neq j, h \neq i, j \neq i}} \frac{\rho_{hj}(i)}{\rho_{hj}}$ <p>where <math>\rho_{hj}</math> is the number of shortest paths between <math>h</math> and <math>j</math>, and <math>\rho_{hj}(i)</math> is the number of shortest paths between <math>h</math> and <math>j</math> that pass through <math>i</math>.</p>	<p>Betweenness centrality is computed equivalently on weighted and directed networks, provided that path lengths are computed on respective weighted or directed paths.</p>
<b>Within-module degree z-score</b>	<p>Within-module degree z-score of node <math>i</math> (Guimera and Amaral, 2005),</p> $z_i = \frac{k_i(m_i) - \bar{k}(m_i)}{\sigma^{k(m_i)}}$ <p>where <math>m_i</math> is the module containing node <math>i</math>, <math>k_i(m_i)</math> is the within-module degree of <math>i</math> (the number of links between <math>i</math> and all other nodes in <math>m_i</math>), and <math>\bar{k}(m_i)</math> and <math>\sigma^{k(m_i)}</math> are the respective mean and standard deviation of the within-module <math>m_i</math> degree distribution.</p>	<p>Weighted within-module degree z-score, <math>z_i^w = \frac{k_i^w(m_i) - \bar{k}^w(m_i)}{\sigma^{k^w(m_i)}}</math>.</p> <p>Within-module out-degree z-score, <math>z_i^{\text{out}} = \frac{k_i^{\text{out}}(m_i) - \bar{k}^{\text{out}}(m_i)}{\sigma^{k^{\text{out}}(m_i)}}</math>.</p> <p>Within-module in-degree z-score, <math>z_i^{\text{in}} = \frac{k_i^{\text{in}}(m_i) - \bar{k}^{\text{in}}(m_i)}{\sigma^{k^{\text{in}}(m_i)}}</math>.</p>
<b>Participation coefficient</b>	<p>Participation coefficient of node <math>i</math> (Guimera and Amaral, 2005),</p> $y_i = 1 - \sum_{m \in M} \left( \frac{k_i(m)}{k_i} \right)^2$ <p>where <math>M</math> is the set of modules (see modularity), and <math>k_i(m)</math> is the number of links between <math>i</math> and all nodes in module <math>m</math>.</p>	<p>Weighted participation coefficient, <math>y_i^w = 1 - \sum_{m \in M} \left( \frac{k_i^w(m)}{k_i^w} \right)^2</math></p> <p>Out-degree participation coefficient, <math>y_i^{\text{out}} = 1 - \sum_{m \in M} \left( \frac{k_i^{\text{out}}(m)}{k_i^{\text{out}}} \right)^2</math>.</p> <p>In-degree participation coefficient, <math>y_i^{\text{in}} = 1 - \sum_{m \in M} \left( \frac{k_i^{\text{in}}(m)}{k_i^{\text{in}}} \right)^2</math>.</p>
<b>Network motifs</b>		
<b>Anatomical and functional motifs</b>	<p><math>J_h</math> is the number of occurrences of motif <math>h</math> in all subsets of the network (subnetworks). <math>h</math> is an <math>n_h</math> node, <math>l_h</math> link, directed connected pattern. <math>h</math> will occur as an anatomical motif in an <math>n_h</math> node, <math>l_h</math> link subnetwork, if links in the subnetwork match links in <math>h</math> (Milo et al., 2002). <math>h</math> will occur (possibly more than once) as a functional motif in an <math>n_h</math> node, <math>l'_h \geq l_h</math> link subnetwork, if at least one combination of <math>l_h</math> links in the subnetwork matches links in <math>h</math> (Sporns and Kötter, 2004).</p>	<p>(Weighted) intensity of <math>h</math> (Onnela et al., 2005), <math>I_h = \sum_u \left( \prod_{(i,j) \in L_{h^u}} w_{ij} \right)^{\frac{1}{l_h}}</math>, where the sum is over all occurrences of <math>h</math> in the network, and <math>L_{h^u}</math> is the set of links in the <math>u</math>th occurrence of <math>h</math>.</p> <p>Note that motifs are directed by definition.</p>
<b>Motif z-score</b>	<p>z-score of motif <math>h</math> (Milo, 2002),</p> $z_h = \frac{J_h - \langle J_{\text{rand},h} \rangle}{\sigma^{J_{\text{rand},h}}}$ <p>where <math>\langle J_{\text{rand},h} \rangle</math> and <math>\sigma^{J_{\text{rand},h}}</math> are the respective mean and standard deviation for the number of occurrences of <math>h</math> in an ensemble of random networks.</p>	<p>Intensity z-score of motif <math>h</math> (Onnela et al., 2005), <math>z_h^I = \frac{I_h - \langle I_{\text{rand},h} \rangle}{\sigma^{I_{\text{rand},h}}}</math>, where <math>\langle I_{\text{rand},h} \rangle</math> and <math>\sigma^{I_{\text{rand},h}}</math> are the respective mean and standard deviation for the intensity of <math>h</math> in an ensemble of random networks.</p>

<b>Motif fingerprint</b>	$n_h$ node motif fingerprint of the network (Sporns and Kötter, 2004), $F_{n_h}(h') = \sum_{i \in N} F_{n_h,i}(h') = \sum_{i \in N} J_{h',i}$ where $h'$ is any $n_h$ node motif, $F_{n_h,i}(h')$ is the $n_h$ node motif fingerprint for node $i$ , and $J_{h',i}$ is the number of occurrences of motif $h'$ around node $i$ .	$n_h$ node motif intensity fingerprint of the network, $F_{n_h}^I(h') = \sum_{i \in N} F_{n_h,i}^I(h') = \sum_{i \in N} I_{h',i}$ where $h'$ is any $n_h$ node motif, $F_{n_h,i}^I(h')$ is the $n_h$ node motif intensity fingerprint for node $i$ , and $I_{h',i}$ is the intensity of motif $h'$ around node $i$ .
--------------------------	--	--

<b>Measures of resilience</b>		
<b>Degree distribution</b>	Cumulative degree distribution of the network (Barabasi and Albert, 1999), $P(k) = \sum_{k' \geq k} p(k')$ where $p(k')$ is the probability of a node having degree $k'$ .	Cumulative weighted degree distribution, $P(k^w) = \sum_{k' \geq k^w} p(k')$ , Cumulative out-degree distribution, $P(k^{\text{out}}) = \sum_{k' \geq k^{\text{out}}} p(k')$ . Cumulative in-degree distribution, $P(k^{\text{in}}) = \sum_{k' \geq k^{\text{in}}} p(k')$ .
<b>Average neighbor degree</b>	Average degree of neighbors of node $i$ (Pastor-Sattoras et al., 2001), $k_{\text{nn},i} = \frac{\sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} k_j}{k_i}$	Average weighted neighbor degree (modified from Barrat et al., 2004), $k_{\text{nn},i}^w = \frac{\sum_{j \in N} w_{ij} k_j^w}{k_i^w}$ . Average directed neighbor degree*, $k_{\text{nn},i}^{\rightarrow} = \frac{\sum_{j \in N} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})(k_i^{\text{out}} + k_i^{\text{in}})}{2(k_i^{\text{out}} + k_i^{\text{in}})}$ .
<b>Assortativity coefficient</b>	Assortativity coefficient of the network (Newman, 2002), $r = \frac{l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} k_i k_j - \left[ l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} (k_i + k_j) \right]^2}{l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} (k_i^2 + k_j^2) - \left[ l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} (k_i + k_j) \right]^2}$	Weighted assortativity coefficient (modified from Leung and Chau, 2007), $r^w = \frac{l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} w_{ij} k_i^w k_j^w - \left[ l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} w_{ij} (k_i^w + k_j^w) \right]^2}{l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} w_{ij} \left( (k_i^w)^2 + (k_j^w)^2 \right) - \left[ l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} w_{ij} (k_i^w + k_j^w) \right]^2}$ . Directed assortativity coefficient (Newman, 2002), $r^{\rightarrow} = \frac{l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} k_i^{\text{out}} k_j^{\text{in}} - \left[ l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} (k_i^{\text{out}} + k_j^{\text{in}}) \right]^2}{l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} \left[ (k_i^{\text{out}})^2 + (k_j^{\text{in}})^2 \right] - \left[ l^{-1} \sum_{(i,j) \in L} \frac{1}{2} (k_i^{\text{out}} + k_j^{\text{in}}) \right]^2}$ .

<b>Other concepts</b>		
<b>Degree distribution preserving network randomization.</b>	Degree-distribution preserving randomization is implemented by iteratively choosing four distinct nodes $i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \in N$ at random, such that links $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2) \in L$ , while links $(i_1, j_2), (i_2, j_1) \notin L$ . The links are then rewired such that $(i_1, j_2), (i_2, j_1) \in L$ and $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2) \notin L$ (Maslov and Sneppen, 2002). “Latticization” (a lattice-like topology) results if an additional constraint is imposed, $ i_1 + j_2  +  i_2 + j_1  <  i_1 + j_1  +  i_2 + j_2 $ (Sporns and Kötter, 2004).	The algorithm is equivalent for weighted and directed networks. In weighted networks, weights may be switched together with links; in this case the weighted degree distribution is not preserved, but may be subsequently approximated on the topologically randomized graph with a heuristic weight reshuffling scheme.
<b>Measure of network small-worldness.</b>	Network small-worldness (Humphries et al., 2008), $S = \frac{C/C_{\text{rand}}}{L/L_{\text{rand}}}$ where $C$ and $C_{\text{rand}}$ are the clustering coefficients, and $L$ and $L_{\text{rand}}$ are the characteristic path lengths of the respective tested network and a random network. Small-world networks often have $S \gg 1$ .	Weighted network small-worldness, $S^w = \frac{C^w/C_{\text{rand}}^w}{L^w/L_{\text{rand}}^w}$ . Directed network small-worldness, $S^{\rightarrow} = \frac{C^{\rightarrow}/C_{\text{rand}}^{\rightarrow}}{L^{\rightarrow}/L_{\text{rand}}^{\rightarrow}}$ . In both cases, small-world networks often have $S \gg 1$ .